

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

TAJAN DURHAM,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, COUNTY OF  
BUCKS, COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY,  
PHILADELPHIA COUNTY DISTRICT  
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, MONTGOMERY  
COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S  
OFFICE, BUCKS COUNTY DISTRICT  
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, PA STATE  
TROOPER DEPARTMENT, PA STATE  
TROOPER JEFFERY HAND, PA STATE  
TROOPER PRESTON GRAY,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 17-5152

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 16th day of July, 2019, after considering: (1) the amended complaint filed by the *pro se* plaintiff, Tajan Durham (Doc. No. 16); (2) the motion to dismiss filed by the defendant the Philadelphia District Attorney's Office (Doc. No. 24); (3) the motion to dismiss filed by the defendant the City of Philadelphia (Doc. No. 29); (4) the motion to dismiss filed by the defendants Preston Gray and Jeffery Hand (Doc. No. 35); (5) the motion to dismiss filed by the defendants the County of Montgomery and the Montgomery County District Attorney's Office (Doc. No. 37); (6) the motion to dismiss filed by the defendant the Bucks County District Attorney's Office (Doc. No. 40); and (7) the plaintiff's "motion requesting continu[a]nce of 'order to show cause'" (Doc. No. 43); and for the reasons set forth in the separately filed memorandum opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The motions to dismiss the amended complaint for failure to state a claim (Doc. Nos. 24, 29, 35, 37, 40) are **GRANTED**;

2. The “motion requesting continu[a]nce of ‘order to show cause’” (Doc. No. 43) is **DENIED**;
3. The amended complaint (Doc. No. 16) is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**;  
and
4. The clerk of court is **DIRECTED** to mark this case as **CLOSED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Edward G. Smith  
EDWARD G. SMITH, J.